

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO	CONTENT
09/464,582	10/16/1000		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/404,382	12/16/1999	NELS LAURITZEN	PPC-694	7760
	90 11/05/2002			
AUDLEY A CIAMPORCERO JR ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			EXAMINER	
	VICK, NJ · 089337003		KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M. 2
		Application No.	pplicant(s)	
		09/464,582	LAURITZEN, NEL	.S
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michele Kidwell	3761	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ac	ldress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however bly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e. cause the application to be	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	July 2002 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) TI	his action is non-fina	ıl.	
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	ance except for forn Ex parte Quayle, 19	nal matters, prosecution as to th 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 and 13-17 is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considerati	on.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.	
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) Dobjected	to by the Examiner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on			er.
40\ 🗆 =	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		1 .	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	Certified copies of the priority document		· · · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	3tage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			annlication)
a)	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application	has been received.	аррпоацоп).
Attachment				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10	5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO er:	
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of P	aper No. 14

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13 – 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 13, the applicant has amended the claims to recite an absorbent garment kit. It is unclear what the applicant intends to claim as an invention because the disclosure continuously refers to an integral waist belt attached to a suspension sling. Since there are no other pieces disclosed outside of this integral waist belt attached to a suspension sling, there is no basis for claiming a kit. Likewise, the specification does not provide antecedent bases for the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 9 and 13 – 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (US 5,607,416).

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 1, Yamamoto et al. (hereinafter "Yamamoto") discloses an integral disposable absorbent garment that may be worn about a human lower torso comprising a suspension sling for absorbing and containing body exudates (1) having longitudinally extending suspension sling side margins, a suspension sling distal end and a suspension sling proximal end (figure 4), said suspension sling being suspendable in a wearer's crotch region (col. 5, lines 32 – 35) and a waist belt (2) having a first belt end secured to the suspensions sling proximal end and a second belt end wherein the waist belt is capable of encircling a user's waist with the suspension sling suspended in the wearer's crotch region and the second belt end attached to the first belt end at the suspension sling proximal end as set forth in col. 5, lines 21 – 35.

As to claim 2, Yamamoto discloses a suspension sling comprising a liquid permeable topsheet, liquid impermeable backsheet associated with the topsheet and an absorbent structure positioned between the topsheet and the backsheet as set forth in col. 3, lines 30 - 33.

With regard to claims 3 and 4, Yamamoto discloses a garment wherein the suspension sling further comprises an elastic element disposed in at least one suspension sling side margin as set forth in col. 3, lines 51 – 61 and in figure 1.

As to claim 5, Yamamoto discloses the garment wherein the waist belt is elastically contractible as set forth in col. 4, lines 24 – 40.

With reference to claims 6-8, Yamamoto discloses the garment further comprising a waist belt attachment system as a pressure sensitive adhesive and a mechanical fastener as set forth in col. 4, lines 40-50.

Art Unit: 3761

As to claim 9, Yamamoto discloses the garment wherein the suspension sling distal end further comprises suspension sling fasteners as set forth in figure 1.

With respect to claim 13, Yamamoto discloses an absorbent garment kit that may be worn about a human lower torso comprising a reusable waist belt having a first and second belt end (figure 3) with a first sling attachment location proximate the first belt end and a second sling attachment location disposed between the first and second belt ends (figure 1) and a disposable suspension sling for absorbing and containing body exudates (1) having longitudinally extending suspension sling side margins, a suspension sling distal end and a suspension sling proximal end (figure 4) wherein the suspension sling is attachable to the first and second sling attachment locations of the belt in a manner to suspend the suspension sling in a wearer's crotch (figure 1) and the waist belt (2) is capable of encircling a user's waist with the suspension sling suspended in the wearer's crotch region as set forth in col. 5, lines 21 – 35.

Regarding claim 14, Yamamoto discloses a garment wherein the second sling attachment location is disposed approximately midway between the first and second belt ends as set forth in figure 1.

As to claim 15, Yamamoto discloses a garment wherein the waist belt and suspension sling comprise a belt closure system as set forth in col. 4, lines 40 - 50.

With reference to claim 16, Yamamoto discloses a garment wherein the belt closure system comprises closure system elements (col. 3, lines 30 – 38) at least at the first and second sling attachment locations and proximate the suspension sling distal and proximal ends (figure 1).

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 17, Yamamoto discloses a garment wherein closure system elements join the first sling attachment location to the suspension sling proximal end as set forth in col. 3, lines 30 - 38 and in figure 1.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 31, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the office action fails to set forth where Yamomoto discloses a waist belt having a first belt end secured to the suspension sling proximal end and a second belt end, the examiner again refers to figure 1 as referenced in the passage cited in the rejection of claim 1. Figure 1 shows the first belt end (considered by the examiner to be the end of the belt from 13a to the area just before reference numeral 2) secured to the suspension sling (6) and a second belt end shown by reference number 13b.

Additionally, with respect to the applicant's argument that Yamomoto does not disclose a waist belt that is capable of encircling a user's waist with the second belt end attached to the first belt end at the suspension sling proximal end, the examiner contends that the applicant has not positively claimed this limitation and that the invention of Yamomoto is fully capable of performing the recited function.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the waist band and the pad member being separate elements in a kit) are not

Art Unit: 3761

recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to the applicant's arguments that Yamamoto fails to teach that the pad member end is attachable to an attachment location. The applicant is reminded that "attachable" means being capable of attachment. Yamamoto discloses an end which is capable of being attached, and is attached to an attachment location. Further, a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. Since the term "kit" is found in the preamble, the examiner has considered the limitation. However, this term adds no structural limitation to the claimed invention and is not thought to patentably distinguish over the prior art. To the extent that the applicant has disclosed a kit, the invention of Yamamoto may also be considered a kit because it comprises both a waist belt and a disposable suspension sling as claimed by the applicant.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 7

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele M. Kidwell whose telephone number is 703-305-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 7:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached 703-308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

chele Kidwell October 31, 2002

Primary Examiner